External editorial review of a text submitted for publication in *Zapiski Historyczne*

1. Title of the reviewed work:
2. First and last name of the reviewer:
3. Evaluation of the scholarly subject of the reviewed work:
Evaluation mark (up to 30%):
4. Evaluation of the extent to which the work is original and innovative, provides new findings or formulates new interpretations, or introduces new source materials to the scholarship:
Evaluation mark (up to 40%):
5. Evaluation of the extent to which relevant scholarly publications and source materials were used, and how well the author's research skills were employed:
Evaluation mark (up to 20%):
6. Evaluation of the layout of the reviewed text:
Evaluation mark (up to 10%):
7. Overall evaluation of the reviewed work and conclusions regarding its publication or rejection:
8. Final evaluation mark (sum of partial evaluation marks in items no. 3–6):

Place, date	Reviewer's signature
· =	our comments with an evaluation mark (percentage) percentage) in item no. 8 by adding up all the partial
We would very much appreciate your as possible.	r assessments and conclusion being as <u>unambiguous</u>
the review in the form of an edital Also, please send a <u>signed scan</u> of you	rd processing program (e.g. MS Word). Please send ble text file to the <u>e-mail address</u> : <u>dybas@umk.pl.</u> review to the <u>email address provided</u> or as a signed dedakcja "Zapisek Historycznych", Towarzystwo 87-100 Toruń, Poland.
Dec	laration of interest
I, the undersigned,	hereby declare that:
preparation of an editorial review 2) there are no circumstances that a reviewer; 3) I will promptly inform the chief	could raise reasonable doubts about my impartiality as editor of <i>Zapiski Historyczne</i> of any circumstances that rest or that may give rise to one in connection with my
Place, date	Reviewer's signature